Reference Data for the Madison Speech Assessment Protocol (MSAP): A Database of 28 Participants, 3-to-6 Years of Age, with Speech Delay

Technical Report No. 19

Jane L. McSweeny

Marios Fourakis

Sheryl D. Hall

Heather B. Karlsson

Heather L. Lohmeier

Christine M. Tilkens

David L. Wilson

Lawrence D. Shriberg

June, 2012

Phonology Project, Waisman Center University of Wisconsin-Madison

The preparation of this technical report was supported by research grant DC00496 from the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, National Institutes of Health (Lawrence D. Shriberg, Principal Investigator) and by a core grant from the National Institute of Child Health and Development (HD03352) to the Waisman Center.

CONTENTS

Background		7
Method		9
Procedures		
Participants		
Summary		
References		14
Key to Sources (Tasks), Measu	urement Units, Abbreviations, and Symbols	15
Reference Data: Segmental		
I. Vowels/Diphthongs.		16
A. Competence		
1. Percentage of	f Non-Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct	
2. Percentage of	f Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct	
3. Percentage of	f Phonemic Diphthongs Correct	
4. Percentage of	f Vowels/Diphthongs Correct	
5. Percentage of	f Non-Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised	
6. Percentage of	f Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised	
7. Percentage 0.	f Vowels/Diphthongs Correct Pavised	
9 Percentage o	f Relative Non-Rhotic Vowel/Diphthongs Distortions	
J. Tereentage 0.	r Relative Ivon Rhote vower Dipittiongs Distortions	
B. Precision		
1. Reduced Vov	wel Space: Quadrilateral VSQI	
2. Reduced Vov	wel Space: Quadrilateral VSQIL	
3. Reduced Voy	wel Space: Distance from Center VSD	
4. Reduced Vov	wel Space: Distance from Center VSDL	
5. Reduced Vov	wel Space: /I, \approx , α / Distance from Center VSD3	
6. Reduced Voy	wel Space: /1, \approx , d/ Distance from Center VSD3L	
/. Lengthened	Vowels: Corners	
8. Lengthened	Vowels: Non-Knouc Mids	
10 Reduced Vo	owel Substitution Coefficients	
11 Increased D	Distorted Vowel Substitutions	
12. Less Precise	e Diphthongs (5 points)	
13. Less Precise	e Diphthongs (3 points)	
14. Distorted R	hotics: F3-F2	
15. Distorted R	hotics: F3/F2	
16. Reduced Pa	irwise Vowel Duration Variability	
C. Stability		
1. Less Stable V	Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQI	
2. Less Stable V	Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQIL	
3. Less Stable V	Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSD	

- 5. Less Stable Vowel Space: /i , \mathfrak{B} , $\mathfrak{a}/$ Distance from Center VSD3
- 6. Less Stable Vowel Space: /i, \approx , α / Distance from Center VSD3L
- 7. Less Stable F1: /i/
- 8. Less Stable F1: /æ/
- 9. Less Stable F1: /u/
- 10. Less Stable F1: /d/
- 11. Less Stable F2: /i/
- 12. Less Stable F2: /æ/
- 13. Less Stable F2: /u/
- 14. Less Stable F2: /ɑ/
- 15. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Corners
- 16. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Non-Rhotic Mids
- 17. Less Stable Vowel Duration: Phonemic Diphthongs
- 18. Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3-F2
- 19. Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3/F2
- 20. Less Stable Vowel Errors: Targets
- 21. Less Stable Vowel Errors: Types

- A. Competence
 - 1. Percentage of Consonants in Inventory
 - 2. Percentage of Consonants Correct
 - 3. Percentage of Consonants Correct-Revised
 - 4. SRT Total Percentage Consonants Correct-Revised
 - 5. Percentage Consonants Correct in Complex Words
 - 6. Relative Omission Index
 - 7. Relative Substitution Index
 - 8. Relative Distortion Index

B. Precision

- 1. Nasal Emissions
- 2. Reduced Percentage of Glides Correct
- 3. Increased Percentage of /j/ Deletions in Clusters
- 4. Lowered Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Midpoint
- 5. Lowered Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1
- 6. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Cluster
- 7. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of First Consonant
- 8. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Second Consonant
- 9. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Percentage of S-F/F-S Cl Disruptions
- 10. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of S-F/F-S Cl Disruptions
- 11. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Pause Disruptions
- 12. Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Addition Disruptions
- 13. SRT Transcoding

C. Stability

- 1. Less Stable Consonant Errors: Targets
- 2. Less Stable Consonant Errors: Error Types

- 3. Less Stable Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Midpoint
- 4. Less Stable Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1
- - A. Competence
 - 1. Intelligibility Index: Tabular
 - 2. Percentage of Structurally Correct Words: Tabular

B. Precision

- 1. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Place
- 2. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Additions
- 3. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Duration
- 4. Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Force
- 5. Increased Percent of EMMA errors by type

C. Stability

- 1. Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Targets
- 2. Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Error Types
- 3. Less Stable Percentage of Phonemes Correct in Complex Words
- 4. Increased Percent of EMMA errors by token

Reference Data: Suprasegmental

A. Competence

1. Percentage of Appropriate Phrasing

B. Precision

- 1. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 2-4 Word Utterances
- 2. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 5-7 Word Utterances
- 3. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 8+ Word Utterances
- 4. Increased Repetitions and Revisions: All Utterances
- 5. Increased Syll/Word Segregation: Percentage of Btwn/Within-Wd Pauses
- 6. Increased Syll/Word Segregation: Duration of Btwn/Within-Wd Pauses
- 7. Increased Percentage of Inappropriate Pauses
- 8. Increased Percentage of Pauses
- 9. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 2-4 Word Utterances
- 10. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 5-7 Word Utterances
- 11. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 8+ Word Utterances
- 12. Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: All Utterances

A. Competence

1. Percentage of Appropriate Rate

B. Precision

- 1. Slower Speaking Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances
- 2. Slower Speaking Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances
- 3. Slower Speaking Rate: 8+ Word Utterances
- 4. Slower Speaking Rate: All Utterances
- 5. Slower Articulation Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances
- 6. Slower Articulation Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances
- 7. Slower Articluation Rate: 8+ Word Utterances
- 8. Slower Articulation Rate: All Utterances

C. Stability

- 1. Less Stable Speaking Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances
- 2. Less Stable Speaking Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances
- 3. Less Stable Speaking Rate: 8+ Word Utterances
- 4. Less Stable Speaking Rate: All Utterances
- 5. Less Stable Articulation Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances
- 6. Less Stable Articulation Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances
- 7. Less Stable Articluation Rate: 8+ Word Utterances
- 8. Less Stable Articulation Rate: All Utterances
- VI. Stress......27
 - A. Competence

1a. Percentage of Appropriate Stress

B. Precision

- 1. Lexical Stress: Trochees
- 2. Lexical Stress: All
- 3. Emphatic Stress
- 4. Sentential Stress

C. Stability

- 1. Less Stable Lexical Stress: Trochees
- 2. Less Stable Lexical Stress: All
- 3. Less Stable Emphatic Stress
- 4. Less Stable Sentential Stress

A. Competence

1. Percentage of Appropriate Loudness

B. Precision

- 1. Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops
- 2. Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affric/Fricatives
- 3. Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All

C. Stability
1. Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops
2. Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affric/Fric
3. Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All
VIII. Pitch
A. Competence 1. Percentage of Appropriate Pitch
B. Precision
1. Midpoint Fundamental Frequency Mean
2. Midpoint Fundamental Frequency Range
C. Stability
1. Less Stable Mean Midpoint Fundamental Frequency
IX. Laryngeal Quality
A Competence
1a. Percentage of Appropriate Larvngeal Quality
Im I ereeninge of Approprime Im Jugem County
B. Precision
1. Percentage of Breathy Utterances
2. Percentage of Rough Utterances
3. Percentage of Strained Utterances
4. Percentage of Break/Shift/Tremulous Utterances
X. Resonance
A. Competence
1. Percentage of Appropriate Resonance Quality
B. Precision
1. Percentage of Nasal Utterances
2. Lowered /u/ F1 (Nasal) 3. Percentage of Nasonharyngeal Utterances
4. Lowered /// F2 (Nasopharyngeal)
5. Lowered /u/ F2 (Nasopharyngeal)
U. Stability 1 Loss Stable $/\alpha/E1$ (Negal)
2 Less Stable /1/ F2 (Nasonharvngeal)
2 Loss Stable / \downarrow / E2 (Naconharmagoal)

3. Less Stable $/ \cup / F2$ (Nasopharyngeal)

Background

Phonology Project Technical Reports provide technical and substantive information on methods developed for a program of research in speech sound disorders of known and unknown origins. Primary goals of the Phonology Project are to identify etiologic origins, risk and protective factors, and diagnostic markers for eight subtypes of speech sound disorders of currently unknown origin (Shriberg, 2010).

The diagnostic framework used in all Phonology Project studies is termed the Speech Disorders Classification System (SDCS: Shriberg et al., 2010a). The SDCS includes a typologic nosology for research and practice in speech sound disorders, and an etiologic nosology for the eight putative subtypes of speech sound disorders of currently unknown origin. The assessment protocol used in all studies since approximately 2010 is termed the Madison Speech Assessment Protocol (MSAP). Data reduction for the MSAP includes both perceptual and acoustic methods. Perceptual methods for narrow phonetic transcription of speech are based on extensions to the system described in *Clinical Phonetics* (Shriberg & Kent, 2012). Perceptual methods to code speakers' prosody and voice are based on extensions to the system described in *The Prosody-Voice Screening Profile* (PVSP: Shriberg, Kwiatkowski, & Rasmussen, 1990) and Phonology Project Technical Report No. 1 (Shriberg, Kwiatkowski, Rasmussen, Lof, & Miller, 1992). Acoustic methods and reliability findings for speech, prosody, and voice variables are described in Shriberg et al. (2010a, 2010b) and in the Phonology Project Laboratory Manual (PPLM; unpublished). Shriberg et al. (2010a) provides extensive information on the structure and content of the MSAP relevant for the present report and is not summarized in this report.

The goal of this technical report is to provide reference data from children with Speech Delay (Shriberg, 1993) for the 145 speech, prosody, and voice signs organized by the Ten Linguistic Domains Analytics (TLDA) and the Competence, Precision, Stability Analytics (CPSA) shown in Table 1. Shriberg et al. (2010a) describes rationale for and content of the TLDA-CPSA framework.

Table 1. Organization and current number of speech, prosody, and voice signs in the Speech Disorders Classification System (SDCS). Shriberg et al. (2010a) provides a description of the rationale for and content of the Ten Linguistic Domains Analytics (TLDA) and the Competence, Precision, Stability Analytics (CPSA), which together form the TLDA-CPSA framework.^a

		Competence	e,	Precision, Sta	ability Anal	yti	ics (CPSA)		
	Compe	etence		Precis	sion		Stab	ility	
Ten Linguistic	Perceptual	Acoustic		Perceptual	Acoustic		Perceptual	Acoustic	<u>Total</u>
Domains Analytics (TLDA)									
Segmental									
I. Vowels	9	0		0	16		4	16	45
II. Consonants	8	0		4	9		2	2	25
III. Vowels &	2	0		5	0		4	0	11
Consonants									
Total	19	0		9	25		10	18	81
Suprasegmental									
Prosody									
IV. Phrasing	1	0		6	6		0	0	13
V. Rate	1	0		0	8		0	8	17
VI. Stress	1	0		0	4		0	4	9
Voice									
VII. Loudness	1	0		0	3		0	3	7
VIII. Pitch	1	0		0	2		0	1	4
IX. Laryngeal Quality	1	0		4	0		0	0	5
X. Resonance	1	0		2	3		0	3	9
Total	7	0		12	26		0	19	64
Overall Total	26	0		21	51		10	37	145

^a Data for 6 of the acoustic Laryngeal Quality variables are not included in this report due to as yet unresolved technical needs.

Method

Procedures

All parents signed an informed consent form, approved by a University of Wisconsin-Madison institutional review board, granting permission for their children to participate in the study. Parents completed a short case history.

The MSAP was administered individually to all participants in a quiet room in a speech clinic. MSAP tasks were presented using puzzles, bubbles, games, and small reinforcers to maintain the children's attention and performance. Children received prizes at the end of each session as a reward for their "hard work." For those children who were scheduled to complete the MSAP in one session, a break was taken approximately halfway through the protocol, and the children were offered juice and a snack.

The MSAP includes a hearing screening at 25 dB HL for 1000, 2000, and 4000 Hz; the Goldman-Fristoe Test of Articulation-2 (GFTA-2; Goldman & Fristoe, 2000); an oral structure-function examination; and, the remaining tests and tasks assessing speech and language. Responses to all speech tasks were recorded on a Marantz CDR420 HD/CD digital audio recorder with matching external Shure MX412DC cardioid condenser microphone. All participants completed the MSAP in one or two sessions. Fifteen of the children completed the protocol in one session, and the remaining thirteen of the 28 children were seen for two sessions scheduled within a one-week time frame. The administration time for the full MSAP averaged approximately 3 hours. The audio samples were processed using the procedures described in the prior citations.

Participants

Potential participants for the reference database were recruited over several years while they were receiving or scheduled to receive services at the Phonology Clinic, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison. The goal was to assemble information on young children typical of those referred to the clinic for mild-moderate to severe Speech Delay, unconstrained by cognitive, language, or affective issues that would preclude their completing the MSAP in one or two sessions.

Parents/caregivers were first approached by the co-director of the Phonology Clinic and asked if they would be interested in having their children participate in the study. Those willing to participate were contacted by phone by the research examiner, who described the study in greater detail, addressed any questions or

9

concerns, and scheduled the assessments. Of the 31 parents contacted, all expressed interest in participating; however, due to scheduling challenges, three children (all males) who were eligible for the study were not able to participate.

Inclusionary criteria for the final data set of 28 children were English (General American dialect) as the primary spoken language and mild-moderate to severe Speech Delay as determined by a computer-based algorithm that identifies age-inappropriate speech sound deletions and substitutions (Shriberg, 1993). Exclusionary criteria were a significant deficit in language comprehension or language expression that would reduce a participant's ability to complete the MSAP, and/or the presence of any known complex neurodevelopmental disorder including cognitive disability, craniofacial anomaly, sensory-motor deficits, and/or affective disorder.

As shown in Table 2, the 28 participants include 13 males ages 41 to 65 months (M = 52.2, SD = 7.3) and 15 females ages 40 to 67 months (M = 52.5, SD = 9.1). For standardization purposes, the MSAP data are divided into two reference groups. The "Younger SD" age group (n = 19) includes participants from 40 to 53 months of age (M = 47.7; SD = 4.6). The "Older SD" group (n = 9) includes participants from 54 to 67 months of age (M = 62.0%; SD = 4.6). There are too few children to provide age x gender data.

Task		Male (<i>n</i> = 13)			Female (<i>n</i> = 15)		Both $(n = 28)$				
	Younger $(n = 9)$	Older $(n = 4)$	Both (<i>n</i> = 13)	Younger $(n = 10)$	Older $(n = 5)$	Both (<i>n</i> = 15)	Younger (<i>n</i> = 19)	Older $(n = 9)$	Both (<i>n</i> = 28)		
CSS	9	3	12	10	5	15	19	8	27		
CWT	9	4	13	10	5	15	19	9	28		
EST	9	4	13	10	5	15	19	9	28		
GF	9	4	13	10	5	15	19	9	28		
LST	9	4	13	10	5	15	19	9	28		
MWT1	8	4	12	8	5	13	16	9	25		
SVT	9	4	13	8	5	13	17	9	26		
VT1	9	4	13	10	5	15	19	9	28		
VT2	9	4	13	10	5	15	19	9	28		
SPT	0	0	0	1	2	3	1	2	3		
SRT	9	4	13	10	5	15	19	9	28		

Table 2. Number of participants per group with data from each Madison Speech Assessment Protocol (MSAP) task.

Table 3 includes descriptive statistics for the cognitive, language, and speech characteristics of the 28 participants with Speech Delay. The cognitive and language statistics are consistent with the goals of recruiting participants who could complete the MSAP, with all participants within the normal range on the cognitive measures and most participants having typical language development. The PCC and GFTA-2 scores are consistent with mild-moderate to severe Speech Delay, with proportionally more children with moderate-severe to severe SD. Prior reports of cohorts of participants with SD have average PCC scores of 70% (SD = 10), whereas the PCC scores in Table 2 for children are lower for both genders and for the younger age group. Thus, the data from this reference database are considered representative of children with significant idiopathic SD without cognitive, language, or psychosocial constraints.

Variable Male Female Both Younger Older M(SD)M(SD)M(SD)M(SD)M(SD)3;6-4;5 4;6-5;7 Cognition^a Verbal 106 (13) 117 (7) 112 (11) 112 (13) 112 (6) Non-verbal 106 (14) 107 (11) 106 (12) 106 (14) 107 (8) IQ Composite 107 (12) 114 (9) 111 (11) 111 (13) 111 (7) Language^b Listening Comprehension 102 (11) 104 (10) 103 (11) 104(11)101 (10) 100 (13) **Oral Expression** 91 (8) 101 (11) 96 (11) 94 (9) Oral Composite 95 (7) 102 (10) 99 (9) 98 (9) 100 (11) Speech Percentage of Consonants Correct 62.0 (14.0) 68.4 (13.1) 65.6 (13.6) 63.4 (13.8) 70.9 (12.3) GFTA-2^c 14 (11) 11(7) 13 (9) 14 (9) 10 (8)

Table 3. Descriptive statistics by gender and age group for the cognitive, language, and speech characteristics of the 28 participants with Speech Delay.

^aKaufman Brief Intelligence Test-2 (2000) standard scores; ^bOral and Written Language Scales (1995) standard scores; ^cGoldman-Fristoe Test of Articulation-2 (2000) percentile scores.

Summary

The reference data in the following pages are presented without comment. Obvious constraints include the possibility of sampling errors due to small cell sizes and the lack of geographic and sociolinguistic diversity. Thorough analysis of trends between and among the variables in the following tables is beyond the scope of the present goal of disseminating these descriptive data on young children with significant Speech Delay. Studies in preparation with children who have motor speech disorders use these findings to standardize scores on selected signs. We invite correspondence on any aspect of the data that may be helpful for clinical or research projects on all topics.

References

- Goldman, R., & Fristoe, M. (2000). *Goldman-Fristoe Test of Articulation (2nd Edition)*. Circle Pines, MN: American Guidance Service.
- Shriberg, L. D. (1993). Four new speech and prosody-voice measures for genetics research and other studies in developmental phonological disorders. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 36, 105–140.
- Shriberg, L. D. (2010). Childhood speech sound disorders: From post-behaviorism to the post-genomic era. In R. Paul & P. Flipsen (Eds), *Speech sound disorders in children* (pp. 1-34). San Diego, CA: Plural Publishing.
- Shriberg, L. D., Fourakis, M., Hall, S., Karlsson, H. K., Lohmeier, H. L, McSweeny, J., Potter,
 N. L., Scheer-Cohen, A. R., Strand, E. A., Tilkens, C. M., & Wilson, D. L. (2010a).
 Extensions to the Speech Disorders Classification System (SDCS). *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, 24, 795-824.
- Shriberg, L. D., Fourakis, M., Hall, S., Karlsson, H. K., Lohmeier, H. L, McSweeny, J., Potter,
 N. L., Scheer-Cohen, A. R., Strand, E. A., Tilkens, C. M., & Wilson, D. L. (2010b).
 Perceptual and acoustic reliability estimates for the Speech Disorders Classification
 System (SDCS). *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, 24, 825-846.
- Shriberg, L.D., & Kent, R.D. (2012). *Clinical Phonetics*. 4rd edition. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Shriberg, L. D., Kwiatkowski, J., & Rasmussen, C. (1990). *The Prosody-Voice Screening Profile*. Tucson, AZ: Communication Skill Builders.

Shriberg, L. D., Kwiatkowski, J., Rasmussen, C., Lof, G. L., & Miller, J. F. (1992). The Prosody-Voice Screening Profile (PVSP): Psychometric data and reference information for children (Tech. Rep. No. 1). Phonology Project, Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison.

Key to Sources (Tasks) and Measurement Units, Abbreviations, and Symbols

ALL = All available sources	count = count
AT = Articulation Test	Hertz = Hz
CSS = Conversational Speech Sample	kiloHertz = kHz
CWT = Challenging Words Task	milliseconds = ms
EST = Emphatic Stress Task	millisyllables = $\%_{o}$
LST = Lexical Stress Task	percentage = %
MWT = Multisyllabic Words Task	syllables/sec = syll/sec
RSTR = Rhotics and Sibilants Task [R]	unitless = u
RSTS = Rhotics and Sibilants Task [S]	* = No Data
SPT = Speech Phrases Task	
SRT = Syllable Repetition Task	
SVT = Sustained Vowel Task	
VT1 = Vowel Task 1	
VT2 = Vowel Task 2	
VT12 = Vowel Tasks 1 & 2	
VT3 = Vowel Task 3	

Sign				Gender		Age (yrs)				
	Male		Female		Both		3;6-4;5		4;6-5;7	
	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD
A. Competence										
Percentage of Non-rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: CSS (%)	90.1	7.1	92.6	8.8	91.5	8.1	90.0	9.0	94.9	3.8
Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: CSS (%)	36.6	42.3	12.9	25.9	23.4	35.5	22.6	35.0	25.5	39.3
Demonstrate of Dhomennia Direction of Connects $CSS(0/)$	<u>80 6</u>	6.0	02.5	15.2	01.2	12.2	<u>80 1</u>	12.0	06.2	2.0
Percentage of Phonemic Diphthongs Correct: CSS (%)	89.0	0.9	92.5	15.5	91.2	12.2	69.1	15.9	90.5	2.9
Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: CSS (%)	87.6	6.9	88.5	8.8	88.1	7.9	86.8	8.7	91.2	4.4
Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct: AT (%)	78.1	14.0	82.4	14.4	80.4	14.1	76.5	14.5	88.6	9.2
Percentage of Non-rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised: CSS (%)	91.2	6.7	93.4	8.1	92.4	7.4	91.2	8.4	95.4	3.5
Percentage of Rhotic Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised: CSS (%)	57.9	33.6	59.0	31.0	58.5	31.6	56.8	34.2	62.6	25.7
Demonstrate of Dhomemia Directions Connect Devised: $CSS(0/)$	00.5	6.6	02.8	15 /	01.9	12.2	80.7	14.0	067	2.2
Percentage of Phonemic Diphthongs Correct-Kevised: CSS (%)	90.5	0.0	92.8	15.4	91.8	12.2	89.7	14.0	90.7	3.2
Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised: CSS (%)	89.6	6.5	91.6	8.7	90.7	7.8	89.5	8.8	93.5	3.5
Percentage of Vowels/Diphthongs Correct-Revised : AT (%)	81.6	13.0	86.1	14.9	84.0	14.0	80.7	14.8	91.0	9.2
Percentage of Relative Non-rhotic Vowel/Diphthong Distortions: CSS (%)	13.0	10.0	14.5	13.2	13.9	11.8	90.0	9.0	94.9	3.8
B. Precision								10.0010		
Reduced Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQI ^a : VT1 (u)	79202	16384	78080	24343	78598	20654	772068	195062	812273	236689
Reduced Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQI ^a : CSS (u)	381/1	13986	39064	15293	38667	14453	424800	140217	296133	11/153
Peduced Vowal Space: Quadralateral VSOII · VT1 (u)	0.48	0.13	0.46	0.17	0.47	0.15	0.47	0.15	0.48	0.17
Reduced Vowel Space: Quadralateral VSQIL: VII (u)	0.24	0.09	0.24	0.09	0.24	0.09	0.26	0.08	0.19	0.17
Reduced vower space. Quadranaterial voque. Cost (a)				,		,				
Reduced Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSD: VT1 (u)	709.7	59.0	729.2	104.1	720.2	85.2	719.6	79.4	721.5	100.5
Reduced Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSD: CSS (u)	602.3	66.4	599.3	102.0	600.6	86.4	610.8	77.0	576.6	107.6
Reduced Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSDL: VT1 (u)	0.60	0.08	0.57	0.08	0.59	0.08	0.58	0.08	0.60	0.07
Reduced Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSDL: CSS (u)	0.48	0.06	0.45	0.06	0.46	0.06	0.48	0.06	0.43	0.06
Reduced Vowel Space: $\frac{1}{1} \approx \frac{\alpha}{2}$ Distance from Center VSD3: VT1 (u)	644.8	50.7	6/6.8	100.5	6/15 0	823	63/1 3	81.6	667.7	817
Reduced Vowel Space: $/1, \approx, \alpha$ / Distance from Center VSD3: VII (u)	596.4	63.3	563.8	103.9	578.3	88.2	570.3	84.3	597.3	100.1
Teduced (over space. //, @, a/ Distance from Conter (5D5, C55 (u)	570.4	05.5	505.0	103.7	570.5	00.2	570.5	01.5	571.5	100.1
Reduced Vowel Space: $/i$, \approx , $@/$ Distance from Center VSD3L: VT1 (u)	0.53	0.08	0.53	0.06	0.53	0.07	0.52	0.07	0.55	0.07
Reduced Vowel Space: /i, \approx , α / Distance from Center VSD3L: CSS (u)	0.47	0.06	0.42	0.05	0.44	0.06	0.44	0.06	0.43	0.06

									17	
Lengthened Vowels: Corners: VT1 (ms)	212.0	52.7	208.2	41.3	210.0	46.1	208.1	51.2	214.0	35.3
Lengthened Vowels: Corners: CSS (ms)	187.0	59.2	162.5	54.0	173.4	56.6	188.2	59.7	138.2	26.8
Lengthened Vowels: Non-Rhotic Mids: VT2 (ms)	182.3	47.3	172.0	30.8	176.7	38.9	176.0	41.8	178.4	34.2
Lengthened Vowels: Non-Rhotic Mids: CSS (ms)	176.0	48.7	164.5	70.6	169.6	61.0	187.1	64.6	128.0	17.0
$\mathbf{I}_{1} = \mathbf{I}_{1} \mathbf{I}_{2} \mathbf{I}_{2} \mathbf{I}_{2} \mathbf{D}_{1} \mathbf{D}_{2} \mathbf{D}_{2} \mathbf{I}_{2} \mathbf{D}_{2} \mathbf{D}_{2}$	202.2	76.0	271.7	25.2	201 5	59.0	275 4	(2.5	202.0	40.4
Lengthened Vowels: Phonemic Diphthongs: V12 (ms)	292.2	/6.9	2/1./	35.3	281.5	58.9	275.4	63.5 57.9	293.8	49.4
Lengmened Vowers. Fnohennic Dipinnongs. CSS (ms)	199.1	33.9	202.0	70.9	200.7	50.5	210.1	57.8	139.4	22.1
Reduced Vowel Substitution Coefficients: ALL (u)	0.03	0.04	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.02	0.01
	0.00	0.01	0.00	0110	0.00	0.00	0107	0.000	0.02	0.01
Increased Distorted Vowel Substitutions: VT12 (count)	2.5	1.5	2.3	2.1	2.4	1.8	2.7	1.8	1.8	1.8
Less Precise Diphthongs (5 points) ^a : VT2 (u)	16173	11517	13841	54164	14964	87919	148201	93183	152528	81586
Less Precise Diphthongs (3 points) ^a : VT2 (u)	73348	55784	66299	24197	69693	41736	67158	42863	74766	41397
Distanted Dhatian E2 E2, VT2 (IL-)	1059.9	(24.4	1945 7	105 1	15075	(10.5	15(5.0	5(0,0	1625.0	7562
Distorted Rhotics: F3-F2: V12 (Hz)	1258.8	034.4	1845.7	485.4	1587.5	019.5 *	1505.0	509.0 *	1035.2	/ 30.3
Distorted Rhotics: F3-F2: CSS (Hz)	1184.4	579.7	1643.0	586.8	1459 5	613.4	1434 5	479 A	1518.0	909.1
	1101.1	517.1	1015.0	500.0	1157.5	015.1	1151.5	179.1	1010.0	707.1
Distorted Rhotics: F3/F2: VT2 (u)	1.8	0.5	2.3	0.5	2.1	0.6	2.0	0.4	2.2	0.8
Distorted Rhotics: F3/F2: RSTR (u)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Distorted Rhotics: F3/F2: CSS (u)	1.7	0.4	2.1	0.5	1.9	0.5	1.9	0.4	2.0	0.8
Reduced Pairwise Vowel Duration Variability: CSS (u)	45.3	12.4	44.6	14.0	44.9	13.0	41.9	12.7	51.8	11.6
C Stability										
Less Stable Vowal Space: Quadrilateral VSQI: VT1 (u)	747	81	75.1	14.2	74.0	11.6	76.5	11.5	72.0	11.0
Less Stable Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQI: VTI (u)	35.5	19.1	45.3	26.9	41.0	23.8	46.2	23.2	28.5	21.6
	55.5	17.1	1010	20.9	11.0	25.0	10.2	20.2	20.0	21.0
Less Stable Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQIL: VT1 (u)	73.9	8.1	74.6	13.6	74.3	11.2	75.2	11.0	72.5	12.0
Less Stable Vowel Space: Quadrilateral VSQIL: CSS (u)	36.5	14.2	47.3	29.2	42.5	23.9	47.8	21.9	29.8	25.3
Less Stable Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSD: VT1 (u)	55.6	11.0	62.4	9.1	59.3	10.4	59.2	10.3	59.3	11.2
Less Stable Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSD: CSS (u)	49.9	9.9	51.2	15.6	50.6	13.2	53.8	9.7	43.1	17.6
Loss Stable Veryal Space, Distance from Center VSDL VT1 (1)	77 /	9.6	71.6	10.2	74.2	0.8	72.6	0.2	75.5	11.0
Less Stable Vowel Space: Distance from Center VSDL: V11 (u)	61.5	0.0 12.8	/1.0	10.2	74.5 61.6	9.8	75.0 64.7	9.5	73.3 54.4	11.0
Less Stable vower Space. Distance from Center vSDL. CSS (u)	01.5	12.0	01.0	12.1	01.0	12.3	04.7	10.4	54.4	14./
Less Stable Vowel Space: $/1. \approx . q/$ Distance from Center VSD3: VT1 (1)	52.5	7.9	51.9	11.0	52.2	9.5	52.2	10.5	52.1	7.9
Less Stable Vowel Space: $/1$, \approx , $a/$ Distance from Center VSD3: CSS (u)	51.7	10.3	49.5	11.6	50.5	10.9	51.5	9.9	48.2	13.5
Less Stable Vowel Space: /i, æ, ɑ/ Distance from Center VSD3L: VT1 (u)	57.8	7.0	54.0	6.8	55.8	7.0	54.4	7.5	58.4	5.4
Less Stable Vowel Space: /i, æ, a/ Distance from Center VSD3L: CSS (u)	56.1	11.5	53.0	6.2	54.4	8.9	54.7	7.6	53.6	11.9

									18	
Less Stable F1: $//:$ VT1 (u)	84.8	10.4	84.6	7.2	84.7	8.7	84.2	9.2	85.6	8.1
Less Stable F1: //: CSS (u)	83.6	10.0	86.0	4.9	84.9	7.5	84.1	8.1	86.8	6.0
	02.2	5.6	01.1	2.2	01.6	4.4	01.4	4.7	02.0	1.0
Less Stable F1: $/@/: V11(u)$	92.2	5.6	91.1	3.2	91.6	4.4	91.4	4./	92.0	4.0
Less Stable F1: /æ/: CSS (u)	73.4	17.4	78.3	14.0	76.0	15.6	77.2	16.8	73.3	13.1
Less Stable F1: /u/: VT1 (u)	89.1	5.3	91.8	3.3	90.4	4.5	90.1	4.3	91.1	5.1
Less Stable F1: /u/: CSS (u)	87.5	11.6	85.8	8.6	86.5	9.8	85.8	11.1	88.3	6.2
Less Stable F1: /ɑ/: VT1 (u)	92.9	5.8	92.0	8.6	92.4	7.3	91.8	8.1	93.7	5.6
Less Stable F1: /0/: CSS (u)	85.0	11.8	86.3	8.9	85.8	10.0	85.8	9.9	85.6	11.1
$I_{\text{acc}} \text{ Stable } E2; i/(VT1 (y))$	80.2	57	00.5	6.1	80.0	50	01.1	5.0	975	6.8
Less Stable F2: \dot{h} : CSS (u)	01.1	63	90.J	8.0	80.2	7.0	91.1	9.0	88.0	7.3
	91.1	0.3	87.0	0.9	09.2	7.9	09.7	0.3	00.0	1.5
Less Stable F2: /æ/: VT1 (u)	94.7	2.8	93.0	5.9	93.8	4.8	93.3	5.6	94.8	2.5
Less Stable F2: /æ/: CSS (u)	82.5	5.1	88.1	10.7	90.1	8.7	90.8	6.1	88.5	13.2
Less Stable F2: /u/: VT1 (u)	82.2	9.7	88.0	8.0	85.1	9.2	84.8	8.9	85.7	10.2
Less Stable F2: /u/: CSS (u)	79.7	15.2	85.4	9.8	82.8	12.6	82.5	14.0	83.7	9.3
$I_{\text{acc}} \text{ Stable } E^{2} / \sigma / VT1 (u)$	04.1	2.2	02.5	5.6	02.2	47	02.1	16	02.5	5.0
Less Stable F2: $/d/$: CSS (µ)	94.1	10.2	92.3	5.0	93.2	4.7	93.1	63	93.3	12.4
	85.0	10.2	71.7	5.5	09.2	0.2	07.0	0.5	07.5	12.4
Less Stable Vowel Duration: Corners: VT1 (u)	77.2	5.3	73.9	6.2	75.4	5.9	74.8	6.2	76.7	5.3
Less Stable Vowel Duration: Corners: CSS (u)	50.6	17.4	51.3	13.5	51.0	15.1	52.5	15.6	47.3	14.0
Less Stable Vowel Duration:Non-Rhotic Mids: VT2 (u)	69.7	5.4	60.4	9.1	64.7	8.9	63.9	9.0	66.4	8.8
Less Stable Vowel Duration:Non-Rhotic Mids: CSS (u)	40.0	21.7	44.9	13.9	42.7	17.6	44.1	19.1	39.3	14.0
Less Stable Vowel Duration: Phonemic Diphthongs: VT2 (u)	72.4	12.5	61.6	12.1	66.8	13.2	66.3	13.7	67.7	12.9
Less Stable Vowel Duration: Phonemic Diphthongs: CSS (u)	60.0	11.5	53.0	20.0	56.1	17.0	56.4	14.2	55.5	23.5
		1110	0010		0.011	1/10	0011	1.112	0010	2010
Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3-F2: VT2 (u)	70.2	15.0	81.4	11.4	76.6	13.9	75.1	15.0	79.5	11.8
Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3-F2: RSTR (u)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3-F2: CSS (u)	59.7	*	77.4	16.2	76.0	16.3	71.4	17.6	86.5	4.7
Loss Stable Photic Distortions: E2/2: VT2 (1)	86.8	10.8	85.4	7.4	86.0	00	86.0	10.0	85.0	63
Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3/2: V12 (u)	80.8	10.8	*	*	*	0.0	*	*	*	*
Less Stable Rhotic Distortions: F3/2: CSS (u)	93.7	*	87.6	93	88.0	9.0	85.3	9.0	94.2	61
	23.1		07.0	7.5	00.0	7.0	05.5	7.0	74.2	0.1
Less Stable Vowel Errors: Targets: VT12 (%)	54.4	21.1	50.9	24.6	52.5	22.6	58.0	22.7	40.7	18.7
Less Stable Vowel Errors: Targets: CWT (%)	45.1	13.5	41.9	13.3	43.5	13.2	42.8	14.6	44.9	10.2
Less Stable Vowel Errors: Targets: MWT (%)	41.3	14.0	50.4	17.0	45.8	15.9	37.4	11.2	59.9	12.4
Less Stable Vowel Errors: Targets: VT3 (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Less Stable Vowel Errors: Targets: CSS (%)	40.4	26.3	59.0	25.5	50.5	27.0	51.6	25.9	47.7	32.1

									19	
Less Stable Vowel Errors: Error Types: VT12 (%)	84.2	17.3	75.5	31.7	79.5	25.9	84.4	17.1	69.0	38.5
Less Stable Vowel Errors: Error Types: CWT (%)	47.6	20.5	46.8	22.3	47.1	21.0	49.2	22.2	42.3	18.4
Less Stable Vowel Errors: Error Types: MWT (%)	34.1	17.4	31.5	24.7	32.8	20.9	31.3	14.7	35.4	29.5
Less Stable Vowel Errors: Error Types: VT3 (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Less Stable Vowel Errors: Error Types: CSS (%)	45.7	33.8	63.4	30.1	55.4	32.3	50.9	31.3	67.3	34.9

^{*}All original cell values in this table referenced to this footnote were divided by 10.

Consonants

Sign			Gen	der		Age (yrs)				
	Male		Female	9	Both		3;6-4;5	5	4;6-5;7	7
	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD
A. Competence										
Percentage of Consonants in Inventory: CSS (%)	80.0	13.3	83.0	15.9	81.7	14.6	77.2	14.9	92.3	6.0
Percentage of Consonants Correct: CSS (%)	62.0	14.0	68.4	13.1	65.6	13.6	63.4	13.8	70.9	12.3
Percentage of Consonants Correct: AT (%)	53.2	19.2	59.9	18.1	56.8	18.6	50.9	17.8	69.5	13.6
Percentage of Consonants Correct- Revised : CSS (%)	68.5	12.9	74.8	15.5	72.0	14.5	68.2	14.3	81.1	11.0
Percentage of Consonants Correct- Revised: AT (%)	59.7	20.6	69.1	21.6	64.7	21.3	57.5	20.2	80.0	15.2
SRT % total PCC-R: SRT (%)	61.3	12.6	71.6	18.2	67.2	16.6	64.1	17.0	74.3	14.2
Percentage of Consonants Correct in Complex Words: MWT (%)	35.5	19.2	46.8	15.4	41.4	17.9	34.5	14.5	53.7	17.4
Relative Omission Index (ROI) : CSS (%)	35.0	9.6	28.1	17.6	31.2	14.8	35.8	14.2	20.2	9.6
Relative Substitution Index (RSI) : CSS (%)	46.7	6.1	47.2	13.2	47.0	10.4	49.0	10.6	42.3	8.9
	10.0	0.1	215		21.0	14.0	17.0	= -	07.6	12.0
Relative Distortion Index (RDI) : CSS (%)	18.3	8.1	24.7	17.1	21.8	14.0	15.2	7.2	37.6	13.9
B. Precision	0.0	0.0	0.6	15	0.2	1 1	0.5	1.4	0.1	0.2
Nasal Emissions: MW I (%)	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.5	0.3	1.1	0.5	1.4	0.1	0.2
Nasal Emissions: A1 (%)	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.1	0.2
Nasai Emissions: CSS (%)	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.1	0.2
Paduced Parcentega of Glides Correct: MWT (%)	22.2	32.6	34.6	37.6	34.0	34.5	28.1	31.5	44.4	20.1
Reduced Percentage of Glides Correct: SPT (%)	*	\$2.0	57.1	14.3	57.1	1/1.3	57.1	*	57.1	20.2
Reduced Percentage of Glides Correct: AT (%)	59.6	23.5	77.5	14.3	69.2	20.8	64.5	20.1	79.2	19.8
Reduced Percentage of Glides Correct: CSS (%)	87.7	10.3	86.3	12.5	86.9	20.8	84.7	11.9	92.0	86
	07.7	10.5	00.5	12.5	00.7	11.7	04.7	11.9	72.0	0.0
Increased Percentage of /i/ deletions in Clusters: ALL (%)	27.8	44 1	34.6	47.4	31.8	45.1	39.3	48 7	18.8	37.2
	27.0		5 110	.,	51.0	10.1	57.5	10.7	10.0	37.2
Lowered Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Mid Point: RSTS (kHz)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Lowered Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Mid Point: MWT (kHz)	6.3	1.0	7.0	0.9	6.7	1.0	6.8	0.6	6.6	1.2
Lowered Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Mid Point: AT (kHz)	7.2	0.9	7.1	0.5	7.2	0.7	7.2	0.8	7.0	0.5
Lowered Sibilant Centroids: M1 at Mid Point: CSS (kHz)	6.3	0.8	6.5	1.0	6.4	0.9	6.3	1.0	6.6	0.7
Lowered Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1: RSTS (kHz)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Lowered Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1: MWT (kHz)	6.9	1.1	7.5	1.0	7.2	1.1	7.4	0.7	7.1	1.3

									21	
Lowered Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1: AT (kHz)	7.8	0.9	7.7	0.5	7.7	0.7	7.8	0.8	7.6	0.6
Lowered Sibilant Centroids: Maximum M1: CSS (kHz)	6.9	0.7	7.1	1.0	7.0	0.9	6.9	1.0	7.2	0.6
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Cluster: MWT (ms)	828.3	186.1	929.7	377.4	885.4	304.2	960.4	403.9	810.4	149.2
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Cluster: AT (ms)	639.4	196.2	876.2	209.0	779.3	232.0	720.1	253.3	882.9	151.9
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Cluster: CSS (ms)	808.6	256.8	861.1	351.6	839.0	308.2	882.5	359.1	779.1	230.2
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of First Consonant: MWT (% o)	461.5	138.2	432.2	127.8	445.0	128.7	416.0	151.3	473.9	103.6
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of First Consonant: AT (% o)	281.1	184.9	475.2	150.0	395.8	188.2	377.2	214.0	428.3	139.1
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of First Consonant: CSS (% o)	372.8	155.6	393.3	229.1	384.6	196.7	408.1	243.4	352.4	113.0
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Second Consonant: MWT (% o)	352.4	131.9	414.4	126.2	387.3	128.3	451.0	145.3	323.6	69.8
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Second Consonant: AT (% o)	347.9	135.6	386.7	121.5	370.8	125.8	333.6	122.6	436.0	109.2
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Second Consonant: CSS (% o)	416.3	187.8	460.3	212.0	441.8	198.0	468.4	232.8	405.2	144.0
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Percentage of S-F/F-S Cluster Disruptions: MWT (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Percentage of S-F/F-S Cluster Disruptions: AT (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Percentage of S-F/F-S Cluster Disruptions: CSS (%)	3.1	8.8	0.0	0.0	1.3	5.7	0.0	0.0	3.1	8.8
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Rel. Duration of S-F/F-S Cluster Disruptions: MWT (% o)	12.4	4.5	11.7	6.5	12.0	5.6	12.5	7.8	11.5	3.4
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Rel. Duration of S-F/F-S Cluster Disruptions: AT (% o)	12.6	3.9	10.7	4.6	11.4	4.4	10.2	4.5	14.3	2.3
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Rel. Duration of S-F/F-S Cluster Disruptions: CSS (% o)	19.5	35.6	7.5	5.8	12.6	23.4	6.0	5.6	21.5	34.7
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Pause Disruptions: MWT (% o)	0.0	0.0	47.0	140.9	26.4	105.7	52.9	149.5	0.0	0.0
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Pause Disruptions: AT (% o)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Pause Disruptions: CSS (% o)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
										<u> </u>
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Addition Disruptions: MWT (% o)	0.0	0.0	22.1	66.4	12.4	49.8	24.9	70.4	0.0	0.0
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Addition Disruptions: AT (% o)	0.0	0.0	3.8	13.8	2.3	10.6	0.0	0.0	6.2	17.5
Lengthened Cluster Durations: Relative Duration of Addition Disruptions: CSS (% o)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SRT Transcoding: SRT (%)	62.6	21.4	80.4	13.9	72.9	19.3	71.9	19.3	75.0	20.4
		4	4	4	4	4		4		4
Less Stable Consonant Errors: Targets: RSTR (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	^ O O	*	^ 14.4
Less Stable Consonant Errors: Targets: CW1 (%)	43.3	0.8	27.2	10.0	49.8	11.5	4/./	9.8	54.7	14.4
Less Stable Consonant Errors: Targets: NIWT (%)	30.4	10.3	37.3	10.6	34.0	10.8	29.8	0.5	41.4	15.5
Less Stable Consonant Errors: Targets: CSS (%)	60.8	11.0	63.0	18.3	62.0	15.5	67.6	11./	48.8	15.0
Lass Stable Conservation Error Tunes DCTD (0/)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Less Stable Consonant Errors: Error Types: KS1K (%)	51.2	117	55.1	157	52.2	12.0	52.0	12.0	54.5	16.5
Less Stable Consonant Errors: Error Types, CW1 (%)	26.9	6.4	28.0	07	27.0	13.8	28.5	6.6	26.9	10.3
Less Stable Consonant Errore Error Types. MW I (%)	20.8	10.7	20.9	7./	21.9	0.2	20.3	0.0	20.8	10.9
Less Stable Collsonalit Errors. Error Types. CSS (%)	/ 8.4	10.7	80.0	13.9	79.0	12.4	02.2	9.0	13.3	10.3
Less Stable Sibilant Centriods: M1 at Mid Point: PSTS (11)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Less Stable Sibilant Centriods: M1 at Mid Point: MWT (1)	873	5.8	80.6	8.0	88.6	7.0	80.8	<u>8</u> 1	87.7	61
1000 1000	07.5	5.0	09.0	0.0	00.0	7.0	09.0	0.1	07.7	0.4

									22	
Less Stable Sibilant Centriods: M1 at Mid Point: AT (u)	84.1	10.9	87.3	7.0	86.0	8.8	85.9	10.0	86.0	7.5
Less Stable Sibilant Centriods: M1 at Mid Point: CSS (u)	74.3	17.7	75.1	17.4	74.7	17.2	72.1	20.3	80.1	6.2
Less Stable Sibilant Centriods: Maximum M1: RSTS (u)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Less Stable Sibilant Centriods: Maximum M1: MWT (u)	88.2	7.2	91.9	4.8	90.3	6.1	93.1	4.6	88.1	6.4
Less Stable Sibilant Centriods: Maximum M1: AT (u)	87.2	7.4	90.2	3.1	88.9	5.4	88.7	6.1	89.1	4.7
Less Stable Sibilant Centriods: Maximum M1: CSS (u)	78.8	19.5	78.1	13.8	78.4	16.3	75.5	19.2	84.2	5.3

Vowels/Diphthongs & Consonants

Sign			Gei	nder			Age (yrs)			
	Male		Fema	le	Both		3;6-4;	;5	4;6-5;	7
	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD
A. Competence										
Intelligibility Index: CSS (%)	18.3	8.1	24.7	17.1	21.8	14.0	84.6	13.2	92.9	10.1
Percentage of Structurally Correct Words: CSS (%)	83.2	13.3	90.2	11.9	87.1	12.8	80.7	9.6	87.5	7.5
B. Precision										
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Place: RSTR (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Place: LST (%)	8.4	3.0	7.2	2.3	7.8	2.7	6.8	2.4	9.7	2.2
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Place: CWT (%)	11.4	5.1	10.6	4.7	10.9	4.8	9.7	4.7	13.6	4.2
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Place: MWT (%)	8.3	4.3	7.7	4.6	8.0	4.3	6.8	3.8	10.0	4.7
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Place: EST (%)	3.5	3.1	4.4	4.5	4.0	3.9	3.2	2.9	5.8	5.2
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Place: VT3 (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Place: AT (%)	9.4	3.9	9.3	4.0	9.3	3.8	8.1	2.9	11.9	4.5
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Place: CSS (%)	7.6	3.0	7.1	2.3	7.3	2.6	6.3	2.1	9.7	2.0
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Additions: RSTR (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Additions: LST (%)	4.6	4.4	2.5	2.0	3.4	3.4	4.1	3.8	2.0	1.8
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Additions: CWT (%)	3.5	3.8	2.5	3.2	3.0	3.4	3.3	3.9	2.3	2.3
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Additions: MWT (%)	5.3	2.2	4.1	2.0	4.7	2.2	4.8	2.3	4.5	2.1
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Additions: EST (%)	5.1	5.5	3.2	4.1	4.1	4.8	5.1	5.3	1.9	2.3
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Additions: VT3 (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Additions: AT (%)	4.0	4.5	3.8	2.4	3.8	3.5	4.2	4.0	3.1	2.0
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Additions: CSS (%)	2.5	1.0	2.4	1.2	2.4	1.1	2.5	1.1	2.2	1.0
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Duration: RSTR (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Duration: LST (%)	1.3	2.3	1.3	2.7	1.3	2.5	1.8	2.9	0.3	0.4
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Duration: CWT (%)	0.6	0.5	1.0	2.0	0.8	1.5	1.1	1.7	0.3	0.3
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Duration: MWT (%)	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.7
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Duration: EST (%)	0.7	1.3	1.3	2.8	1.0	2.2	1.5	2.6	0.0	0.0
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Duration: VT3 (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Duration: AT (%)	2.0	2.1	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.6	2.6	2.9	0.7	0.7
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Duration: CSS (%)	2.1	1.7	1.8	1.1	2.0	1.4	2.1	1.5	1.6	0.9
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Force: RSTR (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Force: LST (%)	0.5	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.7	1.0
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Force: CWT (%)	0.9	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.6
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Force: MWT (%)	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.7
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Force: EST (%)	2.0	2.9	0.7	1.6	1.3	2.3	1.0	1.9	1.9	3.2
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Force: VT3 (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Force: AT (%)	1.2	1.3	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.2	0.5	0.9
Increased Percentage of Phoneme Distortions: Force: CSS (%)	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.4
Increased Percent of EMMA errors by type: CWT (%)	46.2	19.8	46.5	22.7	46.3	21.0	53.4	16.3	31.5	23.1
Increased Percent of EMMA errors by type: MWT (%)	48.5	12.5	43.5	12.5	45.9	12.5	49.5	11.7	39.5	11.9
Increased Percent of EMMA errors by type: AT (%)		10.2	16.3	7.1	16.5	8.5	18.5	8.5	12.3	7.3
Increased Percent of EMMA errors by type: CSS (%)	16.4	4.7	14.5	6.9	15.3	6.0	16.3	6.1	13.0	5.4
C. Stability										
Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Targets: VT12 (%)	43.4	14.6	38.3	17.0	40.6	15.8	41.9	15.7	37.6	16.8
Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Targets: RSTR (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Targets: VT3 (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Targets: CSS (%)	39.8	9.3	46.1	13.6	43.3	12.1	46.9	9.9	34.9	13.1
Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Error Types: VT12 (%)		24.1	60.3	31.5	62.2	27.8	64.1	25.1	57.8	35.4
Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Error Types: RSTR (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Error Types: VT3 (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Less Stable Whole Word Errors: Error Types: CSS (%)	44.9	12.0	56.3	13.9	51.2	14.1	52.9	12.7	47.4	17.3
Less Stable Percentage of Phonemes Correct in Complex Words: CWT (%)	46.8	8.9	50.8	10.9	48.9	10.1	48.1	10.5	50.6	9.3
Less Stable Percentage of Phonemes Correct in Complex Words: MWT (%)	33.1	6.5	36.8	11.1	35.0	9.2	31.7	5.6	40.9	11.5
Less Stable Percentage of Phonemes Correct in Complex Words: VT3 (%)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Less Stable Percentage of Phonemes Correct in Complex Words: CSS (%)		12.7	67.0	14.1	63.1	14.0	64.7	15.2	59.4	10.4
Increased Percent of EMMA errors by token: CWT (%)	33.4	16.6	34.4	18.0	33.9	17.0	39.5	14.4	22.2	16.7
Increased Percent of EMMA errors by token: MWT (%)		12.5	43.5	12.5	45.9	12.5	49.5	11.7	39.5	11.9
Increased Percent of EMMA errors by token: AT (%)		10.2	16.3	7.1	16.5	8.5	18.5	8.5	12.3	7.3
Increased Percent of EMMA errors by token: CSS (%)	9.8	2.7	8.3	3.7	9.0	3.3	9.3	3.2	8.1	3.6

Phrasing

Sign			Gend	er			Age (yrs)						
	Male		Femal	e	Both		3;6-4;5		4;6-5;	7			
	Μ	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD			
A. Competence													
Percentage of Appropriate Phrasing: CSS (%)	87.0	10.6	86.9	11.1	86.9	10.7	87.9	10.7	84.9	11.1			
B. Precision													
Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 2-4 Word Utterancess : CSS (%)	5.4	7.2	2.6	4.9	3.9	6.1	4.1	6.1	3.5	6.6			
Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 5-7 Word Utterances: CSS (%)	19.6	10.3	14.5	13.3	17.0	11.9	18.4	11.6	13.6	12.8			
Increased Repetitions and Revisions: 8+ Word Utterances: CSS (%)	22.6	35.2	28.4	33.3	25.9	33.3	27.7	40.5	23.0	18.6			
			10.0		10 -								
Increased Repetitions and Revisions: All Utterances: CSS (%)	14.1	12.1	13.3	11.1	13.7	11.3	12.1	10.6	17.0	12.7			
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Increased Syllable/Word Segregation: % of Btw/wthn-Word Pauses: MW1 (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Increased Syllable/Word Segregation: % of Btw/Wthn-Word Pauses: A1 (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Increased Syllable/Word Segregation: % of Btw/Wthn-Word Pauses: CSS (%)	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.4			
Increased Sullable Word Segregation: Duration of Ptw/Wthn Word Pauses: MWT (ma)	*	*	280.0	*	280.0	*	280.0	*	*	*			
Increased Syllable/Word Segregation: Duration of Btw/With-Word Pauses: AT (ms)	*	*	380.0	*	380.0	*	380.0	*	*	*			
Increased Syllable/Word Segregation: Duration of Btw/Wthn-Word Pauses: CSS (ms)	191.6	126.2	/38.5	126.8	A65 A	127.1	158.5	123.8	480.1	1/1 5			
Increased Synable, word Segregation. Duration of Dtw/ winn-word Fauses. CSS (ins)	+/+.0	120.2	+30.5	120.0	+0.5.+	127.1	+30.3	125.0	+00.1	141.5			
Increased Percentage of Inappropriate Pauses: CSS (%)	14.6	17.1	15.5	18.5	15.1	17.5	13.3	18.8	18.8	14.8			
	1110	17.1	10.0	10.5	10.1	17.5	10.0	10.0	10.0	11.0			
Increased Percentage of Pauses: CSS (%)	13.6	5.8	12.7	5.6	13.2	5.6	13.6	6.0	12.3	5.0			
Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 2-4 Word Utterances: CSS (u)	1.2	0.6	0.9	0.6	1.0	0.6	1.0	0.6	1.2	0.6			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·													
Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 5-7 Word Utterances: CSS (u)	0.9	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.9	0.5	0.9	0.4	1.1	0.6			
Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: 8+ Word Utterances: CSS (u)	1.2	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.9	0.4	0.9	0.3	0.9	0.6			
Reduced Speech-Pause Duration Variability Ratio: All Word Utterances: CSS (u)	1.2	0.4	0.9	0.2	1.0	0.4	1.1	0.4	0.9	0.3			

Sign		Gender								
	Male		Femal	e	Both		3;6-4	;5	4;6-5;7	
	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD
A. Competence										
Percentage of Appropriate Rate: CSS (%)	90.4	17.2	92.6	9.6	91.6	13.5	90.3	15.8	94.3	6.7
B. Precision										
Slower Speaking Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances: CSS (syll/sec)	2.9	0.4	3.1	0.5	3.0	0.5	2.9	0.4	3.3	0.5
Slower Speaking Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances: CSS (syll/sec)	3.1	0.5	3.2	0.7	3.1	0.6	3.1	0.5	3.2	0.7
Slower Speaking Rate: 8+ Word Utterances: CSS (syll/sec)	2.5	0.6	3.4	0.7	3.0	0.7	2.8	0.9	3.4	0.4
Slower Speaking Rate: All Utterances: CSS (syll/sec)	2.9	0.4	3.2	0.5	3.1	0.5	2.9	0.5	3.3	0.4
Slower Articulation Rate: 2-4 Word Utterances: CSS (syll/sec)	3.1	0.4	3.3	0.5	3.2	0.5	3.1	0.5	3.4	0.4
Slower Articulation Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances: CSS (syll/sec)	3.5	0.5	3.6	0.7	3.5	0.6	3.5	0.5	3.6	0.7
Slower Articulation Rate: 8+ Word: CSS (syll/sec)	3.2	0.9	3.8	0.6	3.6	0.8	3.3	0.9	4.0	0.5
Slower Articulation Rate: All Utterances: CSS (syll/sec)	3.2	0.4	3.5	0.5	3.4	0.5	3.2	0.5	3.7	0.3
C. Stability										
Less Stable Speaking Rate: 1-4 Word Utterances: CSS (u)	69.1	10.3	69.0	8.2	69.1	9.0	70.1	9.2	66.5	8.8
Less Stable Speaking Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances: CSS (u)	74.3	10.9	74.2	8.0	74.3	9.2	75.9	8.7	70.7	9.9
Less Stable Speaking Rate: 8+ Word Utterances: CSS (u)	75.2	9.1	75.8	12.8	75.5	11.1	73.8	14.2	77.1	8.3
Less Stable Speaking Rate: All Utterances: CSS (u)	69.8	7.6	71.9	5.3	70.9	6.5	70.8	6.5	71.0	6.7
Less Stable Articulation Rate: 1-4 Word Utterances: CSS (u)	74.0	11.7	71.0	7.3	72.3	9.5	71.9	9.1	73.3	11.0
Less Stable Articulation Rate: 5-7 Word Utterances: CSS (u)	83.4	7.0	79.0	7.8	81.0	7.6	80.8	8.2	81.6	6.9
Less Stable Articulation Rate: 8+ Word Utterances: CSS (u)	81.1	17.0	78.5	8.9	79.5	12.3	82.9	12.8	76.6	11.8
Less Stable Articulation Rate: All Utterances: CSS (u)	75.0	8.9	74.7	4.7	74.8	6.9	74.4	7.0	75.6	7.1

Stress

Sign			Ge	Age (yrs)						
	Male		Female	e	Both		3;6-4	;5	4;6-5;	7
	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD
A. Competence										
Percentage of Appropriate Stress: CSS (%)	88.2	6.6	91.0	6.6	89.7	6.6	88.7	6.7	91.7	6.3
B. Precision										
Lexical Stress: Trochees: LST (u)	1.1	0.3	1.3	0.5	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.2
Lexical Stress: All: I ST (u)	11	03	13	0.5	12	0.5	12	0.5	12	0.2
	1.1	0.5	1.5	0.5	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.5	1.2	0.2
Emphatic Stress: EST (u)	1.4	0.2	1.3	0.2	1.3	0.2	1.3	0.2	1.5	0.1
Sentential Stress: CSS (u)	1.0	0.4	0.9	0.3	1.0	0.4	0.9	0.3	1.1	0.4
C. Stability										
Less Stable Lexical Stress: Trochees: LST (u)	48.5	19.5	39.1	23.3	43.6	21.7	45.2	26.0	40.9	11.5
Less Stable Lexical Stress: All (u)	48 5	19.5	39.1	23.3	43.6	21.7	45.2	26.0	40.9	11.5
	10.0	17.5	57.1	20.0	15.0	21.7	10.2	20.0	10.5	11.0
Less Stable Emphatic Stress: EST (u)	64.6	12.8	68.6	13.3	66.6	12.9	66.5	14.7	66.8	10.1
Less Stable Sentential Stress: CSS (u)	40.7	22.9	40.7	20.8	40.7	21.4	37.8	22.1	46.8	19.9

Sign	Gender							Age (yrs)				
	Male		Femal	e	Both		3;6-4	;5	4;6-5;	7		
	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD		
A. Competence												
Percentage of Appropriate Loudness: CSS (%)	95.8	6.2	97.8	3.2	96.8	4.9	95.8	5.5	99.0	1.9		
B. Precision												
Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops: VT12 (u)	9.4	3.6	7.5	2.5	8.4	3.2	8.0	3.2	9.2	3.1		
Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops: LST (u)	11.8	4.9	11.7	4.4	11.8	4.6	11.5	5.1	12.2	3.5		
Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops: AT (u)	11.3	7.7	8.4	3.8	9.8	6.0	10.3	6.9	8.8	4.3		
Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops: CSS (u)		5.3	9.5	4.2	10.0	4.7	9.7	5.3	10.8	3.0		
Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affricates/Fricatives: LST (u)	14.1	8.4	7.5	5.7	10.6	7.6	12.6	7.8	7.6	6.8		
Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affricates/Fricatives: AT (u)		5.6	11.9	4.9	12.8	5.1	12.8	5.7	12.8	4.6		
Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affricates/Fricatives: CSS (u)		4.8	14.0	5.9	14.1	5.4	14.6	5.8	13.1	4.7		
Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All: VT12 (u)	9.1	3.5	7.3	2.5	8.1	3.1	7.8	3.1	8.9	3.1		
Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All: LST (u)	11.4	4.8	10.2	4.2	10.8	4.5	10.7	4.7	11.1	4.2		
Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All: AT (u)	13.6	6.2	9.8	4.2	11.5	5.4	12.0	6.3	10.7	3.2		
Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All: CSS (u)	11.5	4.5	10.5	4.5	11.0	4.4	10.5	5.0	11.9	2.5		
C. Stability												
Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops: VT12 (u)	24.2	71.7	20.4	27.9	22.1	51.9	14.3	61.2	38.7	14.0		
Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops: LST (u)	59.2	20.7	58.4	17.7	58.7	18.2	52.7	16.2	68.1	18.1		
Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops: AT (u)	21.6	106.6	26.0	74.2	23.8	88.3	54.5	42.6	0.8	108.5		
Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Stops: CSS (u)	13.8	61.9	30.4	39.8	22.7	50.8	23.2	45.4	21.6	64.9		
Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affricates/Fricatives: LST (u)	*	*	67.2	27.1	67.2	27.1	97.0	*	52.3	11.9		
Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affricates/Fricatives: AT (u)	78.3	15.2	66.8	25.0	71.2	21.8	71.9	26.8	70.5	16.7		
Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: Vowels-Affricates/Fricatives: CSS (u)		48.7	47.0	32.5	47.7	39.9	60.4	28.1	27.0	49.2		
		70.0	165	22.4	10.7	50.6	11.6	62.0	22.6	10.7		
Less Stable vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All: VT12 (u)	21.2	12.3	16.5	32.4	18.7	53.6	11.6	63.0	55.6	19.7		
Less Stable vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All: LS1 (u)	11.4	4.8	10.2	4.2	10.8	4.5	10.7	4./	11.1	4.2		
Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All: AI (u)	48.9	54.9 22.2	38.5	20.7	21.0	30.5	38.2	21.4	40./	<u> </u>		
Less Stable Vowels-Consonants Intensity Ratios: All: CSS (u)	30.9	55.2	32.7	22.8	31.9	27.5	51.8	30.7	32.1	20.2		

Pitch

Sign			Gen	Age (yrs)						
	Male		Femal	le	Both		3;6-4;5		4;6-5;	7
	Μ	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	Μ	SD
A. Competence										
Percentage of Appropriate Pitch: CSS (%)	97.9	3.8	95.8	9.5	96.8	7.2	99.0	1.8	92.2	11.7
B. Precision										
Midpoint Fundamental Frequency Mean: VT12 (Hz)	247.2	35.4	242.3	29.2	244.5	31.7	249.8	32.4	233.4	28.6
Midpoint Fundamental Frequency Mean: CSS (Hz)	270.8	37.8	260.4	33.7	265.1	35.3	274.7	36.1	242.0	20.3
Midpoint Fundamental Frequency Range: VT12 (Hz)	166.5	94.0	208.1	196.4	188.8	156.1	169.9	89.5	228.6	248.2
Midpoint Fundamental Frequency Range: CSS (Hz)	360.8	121.4	298.0	82.9	325.9	104.6	333.4	118.8	308.1	62.4
C. Stability										
Less Stable Mean Midpoint Fundamental Frequency: VT12 (u)	87.3	6.4	85.3	10.3	86.2	8.6	86.6	6.8	85.5	12.0
Less Stable Mean Midpoint Fundamental Frequency: CSS (u)	77.0	7.4	80.0	5.9	78.7	6.6	78.8	7.0	78.4	6.1

Laryngeal Quality

Sign			Gen	der				Age	(yrs)	
	Male		Fema	le	Both		3;6-4	;5	4;6-5	5;7
	Μ	SD	Μ	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD
A. Competence										
Percentage of Appropriate Laryngeal Quality: CSS (%)	76.3	25.3	74.3	23.8	75.3	24.0	72.7	24.3	80.7	24.0
B. Precision										
Percentage of Breathy Utterances: CSS (%)	1.5	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.7	2.7	1.1	3.2	0.0	0.0
Percentage of Rough Utterances: CSS (%)	16.1	21.8	16.9	14.8	16.5	18.1	16.8	15.8	16.0	23.6
Percentage of Strained Utterances: CSS (%)	3.1	10.8	3.5	9.0	3.3	9.7	4.9	11.5	0.0	0.0
Percentage of Break/Shift/Tremulous Utterances: CSS (%)	2.6	5.1	3.6	5.1	3.1	5.0	3.6	5.7	2.3	3.3

Resonance

Sign			Ger	Age (yrs)						
	Male		Female		Both		3;6-4;5		4;6-5;7	
	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD	М	SD
A. Competence										
Percentage of Appropriate Resonance Quality: CSS (%)	94.5	12.0	90.7	15.4	92.5	13.7	91.9	14.4	93.8	13.0
B. Precision										
Percentage of Nasal Utterances: CSS (%)	2.1	3.8	1.3	4.6	1.7	4.2	2.0	4.7	1.0	2.9
Lowered /0/ F1 (Nasal): VT12 (Hz)	1253.9	91.7	1337.1	66.3	1298.5	88.3	1310.6	96.5	1272.9	65.4
Lowered /0/ F1 (Nasal): SVT (Hz)	1196.3	157.9	1242.3	156.1	1223.5	154.8	1257.5	140.2	1150.6	169.9
Lowered /d/ F1 (Nasal): CSS (Hz)	1100.6	200.0	1033.1	259.1	1063.1	232.9	1117.9	196.0	933.1	274.2
Percentage of Nasopharyngeal Utterances: CSS (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
$\mathbf{L} = \{ \mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{P} : \{ \mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{P} : \mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{P} \}$	2145.0	165.0	21067	204.0	2166.6	242.6	2140.2	219.5	2202.2	200.7
Lowered /// F2 (Nasopharyngeal): V 112 (HZ)	3145.0	165.9	3180.7	304.0	3100.0	243.6	3148.3	218.5	3203.2	298.7
Lowered /// F2 (Nasopharyngeal): CSS (HZ)	3050.9	152.3	2988.7	289.7	3016.3	236.6	3011.0	258.8	3029.0	188.5
Lowered /u/ F2 (Nasopharyngeal): VT12 (Hz)	1595.7	278.4	1704.2	397.9	1652.0	343.5	1611.1	336.9	1733.8	361.9
Lowered /u/ F2 (Nasopharyngeal): CSS (Hz)	1889.6	379.7	1680.1	275.9	1773.2	336.5	1740.8	330.1	1850.2	361.5
C. Stability										
Less Stable /d / F1 (Nasal): VT12 (u)	92.9	5.8	92.0	8.6	92.4	7.3	91.8	8.1	93.7	5.6
Less Stable / a / F1 (Nasal): CSS (u)	85.0	11.8	86.3	8.9	85.8	10.0	85.8	9.9	85.6	11.1
Less Stable / / F2 (Nasonharyngeal): VT12 (u)	89.3	57	90.5	61	89.9	5.8	91.1	5.0	87.5	68
Less Stable // / F2 (Nasopharyngeal): CSS (u)	91.1	6.3	87.6	8.9	89.2	7.9	89.7	8.3	88.0	7.3
	,				.,					
Less Stable /u / F2 (Nasopharyngeal): VT12 (u)	82.2	9.7	88.0	8.0	85.1	9.2	84.8	8.9	85.7	10.2
Less Stable /u / F2 (Nasopharyngeal): CSS (u)	79.7	15.2	85.4	9.8	82.8	12.6	82.5	14.0	83.7	9.3